Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N-promoted cyclocondensation of activated α-methylene alkenes and nitroolefins: a novel one-pot synthesis of polysubstituted benzenes

Weike Su *, Kai Ding, Zhiwei Chen

Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, PR China

A B S T R A C T

A simple and efficient one-pot synthesis of polyfunctionalized benzenes has been developed via cyclocondensation of activated α-methylene alkenes such as vinyl malononitriles and ethyl vinyl cyanoacetates with nitroolefins using Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N as a novel catalytic system.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

As useful compounds in organic chemistry and natural product chemistry, polysubstituted benzenes also play important roles in medicinal chemistry for the fact that they have common structural features in various bioactive molecules and are frequently employed as precursors for the synthesis of many bioactive heterocyclic compounds.[1] Consequently, enormous number of procedures have been developed for the construction of polysubstituted benzenes. Aromatic substitutions, including Friedel–Crafts acylations and alkylations,[2] nucleophilic substitutions,[3] and coupling reactions[4] based on the given aromatics are interpreted as traditional approaches. On the other hand, the approach to construct aromatic backbone from catenulate precursors has received growing interest not only due to the short sequence and regioselectivity, but also due to the advancement from the viewpoint of atom economy[5] and environmental concern. Herein, [3+2+1]Dotz reaction,[6] [4+2]benzannulation,[7] [3+3]cyclocondensation[8], and Vilsmeier reagent assisted arylation[9] have been discovered and developed in recent years.

In general, it is difficult to introduce amino, nitrile, and/or ester group to the given aromatics. Some methods were developed to synthesize these functionalized benzenes from acyclic compounds as follows: (i) base-promoted cyclocondensation of arylethylidene- and arylidenemalono- nitriles and the elimination of nitrile group in succession, which provides polysubstituted 2-amino isophthalonitriles,[10] (ii) one-pot two-step tandem reaction of vinylmalononitriles and nitroolefins promoted by two different kinds of bases

Table 1
Reaction of 2-(1phenylethylidene)malononitrile (**1a**) and (*E*)-(2-nitrovinyl)benzene (**3a**) under different conditions[a]

Entry	Solvent	Catalyst	Loading (mol %)	Yields[b] (%)
1	CH₃CN	Cu(OTf)₂	5	0
2	CH₃CN	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/10	79
3	CH₃CN	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	82
4	CH₃CN	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	10/5	82
5	CH₃CN	Zn(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	64
6	CH₃CN	Mg(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	56
7	CH₃CN	Sc(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	81
8	CH₃CN	CuCl₂/ Et₃N	5/5	39 (52[c])
9	CH₃CN	Et₃N	5	35 (36[d])
10	CH₃CN	Piperidine	10	29
11	CH₃CN	KOH	100	0
12	CH₃CN	EtONa	100	Trace
13	CH₂Cl₂	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	0
14	CHCl₃	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	62
15	DMF	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	71
16	EtOH	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	79
17	Dioxane	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	55
18	Toluene	Cu(OTf)₂/Et₃N	5/5	40

[a] The reaction was carried out at 80 °C reflux for 5 h.
[b] Yield of isolated product.
[c] In the presence of 40 mol % of CuCl₂ and 5 mol % of Et₃N .
[d] In the presence of 10 mol % of Et₃N.

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 571 88320752.
E-mail address: suweike@zjut.edu.cn (W. Su).

Table 2
Cu(OTf)$_2$/Et$_3$N promoted synthesis of 2-amino-3-nitro benzonitriles in refluxing CH$_3$CN[13]

Entry	R^1	R^2	R^3	Product	Yield^a (%)
1	Ph	H	Ph	**4a**	82
2	4-MeC$_6$H$_4$	H	Ph	**4b**	85
3	4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	H	Ph	**4c**	86
4	4-FC$_6$H$_4$	H	Ph	**4d**	79
5	4-ClC$_6$H$_4$	H	Ph	**4e**	84
6	3-ClC$_6$H$_4$	H	Ph	**4f**	76
7	(furanyl)	H	Ph	**4g**	85
8	(benzyl/indanyl)		Ph	**4h**	80
9	(cyclohexyl)		Ph	**4i**	79
10	(cyclopentyl)		Ph	**4j**	78
11	(cyclopropyl)	H	Ph	**4k**	81
12	Ph	Me	Ph	**4l**	70
13	4-ClC$_6$H$_4$	Me	Ph	**4m**	67
14	4-MeC$_6$H$_4$	Ph	Ph	/	0
14	Ph	H	(furanyl)	**4n**	83
15	Ph	H	(thienyl)	**4o**	82
16	Ph	H	4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	**4p**	83
17	Ph	H	3-NO$_2$C$_6$H$_4$	**4q**	81

^{a} Yield of isolated product.

Table 3
Cu(OTf)$_2$/ Et$_3$N promoted synthesis of ethyl 2-amino-3-nitro-benzoates in CH$_3$CN at reflux[14]

| Entry	R^1	R^2	R^3	Product	Yield^a (%)
1	Ph	H	Ph	**5a**	66^b
2	(cyclohexyl)		Ph	**5b**	66
3	(cyclohexyl)		(thienyl)	**5c**	64
5	(cyclopentyl)		Ph	**5d**	62
6	3-ClC$_6$H$_4$		Me	**5e**	59^b

^{a} Yield of isolated product based on **2**
^{b} Geometrical isomers of **2** were used.

is indispensable. Then, the model reaction was investigated with a variety of conditions. The results are summarized in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, Cu(OTf)$_2$ and Et$_3$N, each of 0.05 equiv, gave the best yield. The reaction gave low yield when 0.05 or 0.1 equiv of Et$_3$N alone was used (Table 1, entry 9). Furthermore, any sole organic or inorganic base gave a low yield or even no reaction (Table 1, entries 10–12). CuCl$_2$/Et$_3$N system also gave lower yield in 39% (52% with increased amount of the catalyst) (Table 1, entry 8). Other metal triflate/Et$_3$N catalyst systems, such as Zn(OTf)$_2$/Et$_3$N, Sc(OTf)$_3$/Et$_3$N, and Mg(OTf)$_2$/Et$_3$N, were studied, and only Sc(OTf)$_3$/Et$_3$N showed good activity (Table 1, entries 5–7). We also examined different solvents such as CH$_2$Cl$_2$, CHCl$_3$, DMF, EtOH, dioxane, and toluene. Among them, CH$_3$CN was the best one.

With these preliminary results in hand, we paid our attention to a wide scope of vinyl malononitriles **1**. Subsequent studies were carried out under the optimized conditions: with 5 mol % of Cu(OTf)$_2$ and 5 mol % of Et$_3$N in CH$_3$CN at reflux for 5 h. Satisfactorily, most vinyl malononitriles reacted with nitroolefins completely and afforded the corresponding products **4a–q** in moderate to good yields, as shown in Table 2.

First, a series of substituted phenylethylidene malononitriles (Table 1, entries 1–6) were used to react with **3a**. Both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents on phenyl were tolerated. When phenyl was replaced with furanyl, the corresponding product was obtained in high yields (Table 2, entry 7, 85% yield). Furthermore, some vinyl malononitriles with aliphatic R^1 and R^2 were also subjected to the reactions with **3a**, which similarly gave the corresponding products in satisfactory yields (Table 2, entries 8–11). Furthermore, we successfully constructed a cyclopropyl benzene framework which was difficult to prepare via traditional approaches. Subsequently, when R^2 = Me (Table 2, entries 12 and 13), a considerable decrease in yields took place. Indeed, no reaction of 2-(2-phenyl-1-*p*-tolylethylidene)malononitrile with **3a** was observed under the same conditions (Table 2, entry 14). This observation might be a result of steric hindrance. Finally, a wide range of nitroolefins with different R^3 were reacted with **1a**. Similar yields were obtained irrespective of R^3 alternating from substituted phenyl to heterocycles (Table 2, entries 14–17).

for each step, which obtains a series of substituted 2-amino-3-nitro benzonitriles.[11] Unfortunately, these methods often suffer from certain drawbacks such as long-playing procedures, hazardous by-products and use of stoichiometric or even excess amount of base. Therefore, how to synthesize polysubstituted benzenes efficiently and catalytically is an important question to be answered in organic synthesis.

Our group has reported metal triflates as excellent catalysts that are widely used in organic synthesis.[12] As part of our ongoing interest in green chemistry and metal triflates-catalyzed organic reactions, in this Letter, we will introduce a novel and efficient one-pot synthesis of polyfunctionalized benzenes via the reactions of activated α-methylene alkenes and nitroolefins promoted by catalytic amount of copper triflate and triethylamine. The products, a series of 2-amino-3-nitro-benzonitriles and ethyl 2-amino-3-nitro-benzoates, are obtained in good yields.

In our initial research, 5 mol % of Cu(OTf)$_2$ was tested to promote the reaction of 2-(1-phenylethylidene)malononitrile (**1a**) and (E)-(2-nitrovinyl) benzene (**3a**). Acetonitrile was selected as solvent due to the solubility of the two starting materials. Disappointedly, no product was observed after refluxing for 10 h. However, the reaction was kept at reflux for 5 h after Et$_3$N was added, and compound **4a** was obtained in good yield. It i seems that a base

Scheme 1. Reaction to obtain the intermediate **6c**.

Scheme 2. Plausible reaction mechanism of **1** or **2** with nitroolefins.

To extend the scope of this reaction, other activated α-methylene alkenes, such as Knoveangal products **2** of ketones with ethyl cyanoacetate, were made to react with nitroolefins **3** using the present protocol. The formation of **5** from **2** required longer time (8 h). In general, probably due to the comparatively weaker electron-withdrawing ability of COOEt than CN, these reactions gave significantly lower yields than that of vinyl malononitriles with nitroolefins. The products, a series of ethyl 2-amino-3-nitro benzoates derivatives **5**, were obtained in moderate yields. The results are summarized in Table 3.

In addition, reaction of 2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethylidene) malononitrile (**1c**) with (E)-2-(2-nitrovinyl)thiophene was carried out at room temperature and was catalyzed by 5 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$ and 5 mol % Et$_3$N for 6 h (Scheme 1). The detection of the Michael intermediate **6c** indicates the ionic path of the whole cyclocondensation.[15]

Based on the experimental results, a plausible mechanism was proposed in Scheme 2, involving the Michael addition of activated α-methylene alkenes and nitroolefins, the cyclization catalyzed by Cu(OTf)$_2$ and Et$_3$N, and the oxidation in atmosphere.

In summary, we have developed a simple, convenient and efficient synthetic protocol for polysubstituted benzenes using Cu(OTf)$_2$/Et$_3$N as a novel catalytic system in CH$_3$CN. The simplicity, efficiency, easy work-up, short reaction time, and the need for catalytic amount of base make it a preferred procedure for the preparation of polysubstituted benzenes.

Acknowledgments

References and notes

1. Recent selected examples: (a) Warshakoon, N. C.; Sheville, J.; Bhatt, R. T.; Ji, W.; Mendez-Andino, J. L.; Meyers, K. M.; Kim, N.; Wos, J. A.; Mitchell, C.; Paris, J. L.; Pinney, B. B.; Reizes, O.; Hu, X. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2006**, 16, 5207; (b) Frazier, K.; Jazan, E.; McBride, C. M.; Pecchi, S.; Renhowe, P. A.; Shafer, C. M.; Taylor, C.; Bussiere, D.; He, M. M.; Jansen, J. M.; Lapointe, M.; Ma, S.; Vora, J.; Wiesmann, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2006**, 16, 2247; (c) Wang, J. Q.; Gao, M.;

Miller, K. D.; Sledge, G. W.; Zheng, Q.-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2006**, 16, 4102; (d) Nakamura, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Uno, M.; Yoshikawa, T.; Asano, T.; Ban, H. S.; Fukazawa, H.; Shibuya, M.; Uehara, Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2006**, 16, 5127; (e) Kaiah, T.; Lingaiah, B. P. V.; Narsaiah, B.; Shireesha, B.; Kumar, B. A.; Gururaj, S.; Pathasarathy, T.; Sridhar, B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2007**, 17, 3445; (f) Romagnoli, R.; Baraldi, P. G.; Carrion, M. D.; Cara, G. L.; Preti, D.; Fruttarolo, F.; Parani, M. G.; Tabrizi, M. A.; Tolomeo, M.; Grimando, S.; Cristina, A. D.; Balazarini, J.; Hadfield, J. A.; Brancale, A.; Hamel, E. J. Med. Chem. **2007**, 50, 2273; (g) Zhong, W.; Liu, H.; Kaller, M. R.; Henley, C.; Magal, E.; Nguyen, . T.; Osslund, T. D.; Powers, D.; Rzasa, R. M.; Wang, H.-L.; Wang, W.; Xiong, X.; Zhang, J.; Norman, M. H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2007**, 17, 5384.
2. (a) Olah, G. In Friedel–Crafts and Related Reactions; Wiley Inter-science: New York, 1963; vols. I–IV; (b) Pearson, D. E.; Buehler, C. A. Synthesis **1972**, 533.
3. Hassan, J.; Sevignon, M.; Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. **2002**, 102, 1359.
4. Saito, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. **2000**, 100, 2901.
5. (a) Trost, B. M. Science **1991**, 254, 1471; (b) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1995**, 34, 259.
6. (a) Wang, H.; Huang, J.; Wulff, W. D.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 8980; (b) Vorogushin, A. V.; Wulff, W. D.; Hansen, H.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 6512.
7. (a) Asao, N.; Nogami, T.; Lee, S.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 10921; (b) Asao, N.; Takahashi, K.; Lee, S.; Kasahara, T.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 12650; (c) Asao, N.; Aikawa, H.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 7458.
8. Langer, P.; Bose, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, 42, 4033.
9. (a) Somogyi, L.; Debrecen, H. J. Hetero. Chem. **2007**, 44, 1235; (b) Katritzky, A. R.; Marson, C. M. J. Org. Chem. **1987**, 52, 2726; (c) Katrizky, A. R.; Marson, C. M.; Palenik, G.; Koziol, A. E.; Luce, H.; Karelson, M.; Chen, B. C.; Brey, W. Tetrahedron **1988**, 44, 3209.
10. (a) Milart, P.; Wilamowski, J.; Sepiol, J.-J. Tetrahedron **1998**, 54, 15643; (b) Gewald, K.; Schill, W. J. Prakt. Chem. **1971**, 313, 678; (c) Wang, X. S.; Zhang, M. M.; Li, Q.; Yao, C. S.; Tu, S. J. Tetrahedron **2007**, 63, 5265.
11. Xue, D.; Li, J.; Zhang, Z.-T.; Deng, J.-G. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 5443.
12. (a) Su, W.; Li, J.; Zheng, Z.; Shen, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. **2005**, 46, 6037; (b) Su, W.; Jin, C. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 993; (c) Su, W.; Hong, Z.; Shan, W.; Zhang, X. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 2723; (d) Chen, J.; Wu, H.; Zheng, Z.; Jin, C.; Zhang, X.; Su, W. Tetrahedron Lett. **2006**, 47, 5383; (e) Yu, C.; Dai, X.; Su, W. Synlett **2007**, 646; (f) Su, W.; Chen, J.; Wu, H.; Jin, C. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 4524.
13. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-amino-3-nitro-benzonitriles. To a mixture of vinyl malononitrile (1 mmol) and nitroolefin (1 mmol) in CH$_3$CN (3 mL), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.05 mmol, 18 mg) and triethylamine (0.05 mmol, 5 mg) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 5 h. After the completion of the reaction, EtOAc (15 mL) was added to dilute the reaction solution. Then, the mixture was washed with water and brine. The combined organic phases were dried and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 8:1) to afford compounds **4**.
14. General procedure for the synthesis of ethyl 2-amino-3-nitro-benzoates. To a mixture of **2** (1 mmol) and nitroolefin (1 mmol) in CH$_3$CN (3 mL), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.05 mmol, 18 mg) and triethylamine (0.05 mmol, 5 mg) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 8 h. After the completion of the reaction, EtOAc (15 mL) was added to dilute the reaction solution. Then, the mixture was washed with water and brine. The combined organic phases were dried and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 8:1) to afford compounds **5**.
15. Procedure for the synthesis of **6c**. To a mixture of **1c** (1 mmol) and (E)-2-(2-nitrovinyl)thiophene (1 mmol) in CH$_3$CN (3 mL), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.05 mmol, 18 mg) and triethylamine (0.05 mmol, 5 mg) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. After the completion of the reaction, EtOAc (15 mL) was added to dilute the reaction solution. Then, the mixture was washed with water and brine. The combined organic phases were dried and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 10:1) to afford the **6c** as a yellow crystalline powder.
Spectral data for selected products:
3-Amino-2-nitro-1-phenyl-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-4-carbonitrile (**4h**): Yellow crystals, mp 201–202 °C (201.2–203.4 °C[11]), yield: 80%. ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 8.24 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.47 (m, 5H), 7.28 (dd, J_1 = 6.5 Hz, J_2 = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.22 (m, 2H), 5.66 (s, 2H), 2.63–2.65 (m, 2H), 2.38–2.40 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (125 Hz, CDCl$_3$): δ 143.3, 142.5, 140.4, 139.8, 135.7, 131.1, 130.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 127.1, 117.2, 95.4, 29.1, 26.0. IR (KBr) v_{max}: 3466, 3382, 2214, 1620, 1512, 920, 706 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 341 (54, M$^+$), 305 (100).
3-Amino-5-(furan-2-yl)-4-nitrobiphenyl-2-carbonitrile (**4n**): Yellow crystals, mp 164–165 °C, yield: 83%. ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.50–7.58 (m, 5H),

7.26 (s, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (dd, J_1 = 2.0 Hz, J_1 = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (125 Hz, CDCl$_3$): δ 117.0, 110.7, 107.3, 106.7, 99.5, 92.3, 92.1, 90.9, 80.5, 78.2, 74.8, 74.1. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 302 (23, M$^+$), 232 (100). HRMS calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{11}$N$_3$O$_3$ (M$^+$): 305.0800; found: 305.0801.

Ethyl 2-amino-3-nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalene-1-carboxylate (**5c**): Yellow crystals, mp 173–174 °C, yield: 64%. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.41 (dd, J_1 = 0.8 Hz, J_2 = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (dd, J_1 = 3.6 Hz, J_2 = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, J_1 = 1.2 Hz, J_2 = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.65–1.74 (m, 4H), 1.41 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 167.8, 141.2, 137.0, 135.3, 130.8, 128.0, 127.8, 127.0, 126.9, 119.7, 64.6, 29.1, 27.7, 22.3, 22.2, 14.2. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 347 (12, M$^+$+1), 346 (42, M$^+$), 282 (100). HRMS calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_4$S (M$^+$): 346.0987; found: 346.0988.

Ethyl 5-amino-6-nitro-7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (**5d**): Yellow crystals, mp 94–95 °C, yield: 62%. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.34–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.23 (m, 2H), 6.93 (s, 2H), 4.41 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.27 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 168.0, 152.3, 143.6, 137.6, 137.6, 137.0, 132.3, 128.5, 128.0, 127.5, 110.9, 61.1, 36.8, 31.6, 24.6, 14.3. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 327 (12, M$^+$+1), 326 (100). HRMS calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_4$ (M$^+$): 326.1267; found: 326.1269.

2-(1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-nitro-3-(thiophen-2-yl)butylidene)malononitrile (**6c**): Yellow crystalline powder; mp 84–85 °C; yield: 92%. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 7.62 (dd, J_1 = 2.4 Hz, J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.98–7.04 (m, 3H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 3.87–3.90 (m, 4H), 2.62 (s, 3H). MS (EI): m/z (%) = 353 (10, M$^+$), 197 (100). HRMS (EI) calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{15}$N$_3$O$_3$S ([M]$^+$) 353.3950; found: 353.3947.